

Meeting Number	55
Date Of Meeting:	21 st August 2018
Location:	Brigstock Village Hall
Purpose Of Meeting:	Committee meeting
Minutes Prepared By:	Nigel Searle
Date & Location Of Next Meetings:	No date set
Attendees	M D Smith, M Smith, C Allen (Chair), N P Schofield, N J Searle, S Wilks, S Brown
To be notified	Mike Burton– ENC Planning. Colin Wilkinson - Consultant
Copies to:	Committee members, Parish Councillors plus Website and those expressing an interest

Item No	Subject
55.01	<u>Public Forum</u> There was no member of the public present
55.02	<u>Apologies for absence</u> R Fincher sent his apologies which were accepted
55.03	<u>Declaration of interests</u> None declared
55.04	<u>Minutes of Previous meeting (54)</u> These were agreed as a true record of the meeting
55.05	<u>Review and make any necessary decisions on examiners report.</u> The committee had received initial comments report on the plan from the examiner (J Slater). In the interests of transparency, the examiner's report was put on the parish council website. This issue highlighted in the examiner's report was in relation to a parcel of land between 8 and 14 Grafton Road, Brigstock which is outside the defined village boundary. Representation had been made by Berrys on behalf of A German and F Robinson under regulation 16 that this parcel of land should be included in the defined boundary. The examiners report and Berrys submission in conjunction with comments from M Burton (ENC) and Colin Wilkinson (Planit X) were discussed in detail. There were serious concerns regarding creating a precedent at this stage in the process by changing the defined boundary, but at the same time the plan under Policy B2: does allow infill development.

	<p>After exhaustive discussions there were three possible options to be considered.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Move the defined boundary to include the whole site 2. Move the defined boundary to only allow development on the front of the site adjoining Grafton Road. 3. Move the defined boundary across the site to restrict development.
	<p>After examining the pros and cons of the three options option ONE was agreed by a vote 4 in favour 3 against. To restrict development there was a caveat that any development should be restricted to the two dwellings as per the previous planning application.</p>
54.06	<u>Next Steps</u>
	<p>As the process is nearing completion the next steps were discussed. These are summarised as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receive inspectors report • Make all relevant changes • Produce final document • Publicity pre-referendum • ENC undertake referendum
54.07	<u>AOB</u>
	No other business
54.08	<u>Date of next meeting</u>
	None set as this depends on the decision of the Examiner