Number Application Meeting date PC Response	NE Decision
---	-------------

Applications with no decisions, carried forward from 2021 -2022

NE/21/00018/FUL	Proposal: Renovation of existing derelict barns within the curtilage of a listed building (NB the barns themselves are not listed). For part office space, part multi-function (i.e. meetings and parties), part kitchen and toilets and part private use at 1 Lyveden Road Brigstock Kettering Northamptonshire NN14 3HE	Response required 14th April 2021	No Objection	
NE/21/00472/FUL	Proposal: Permanent Rural workers dwelling at training kennels Location: Land Adjacent 25 Stanion Road Brigstock Northamptonshire	Response required 28th April 2021	No Objection	
NE/21/00536/FUL	Proposal: Two storey front extension, two storey side extension, single storey side extension and erection of detached triple garage Location: Brakehill Family Farm Grafton Road Brigstock Kettering Northamptonshire NN14 3NB	Response required 28th April 2021	No Objection	
NE/22/00140/FUL	Proposal: 2 No. one and a half storey fronted cottage style, detached dwelling houses. Location: 39 Old Dry Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HY	Response required 26 th March 2022	No Objection	`
NE/22/00349/TCA	1 - Horse Chestnut - Approximately 15 metres tall, overly mature and slightly diseased tree, is 2 metres at the base away from the parapet wall of the Grafton Road bridge over Harpers Brook, it over hangs the bridge approach, span, road and brook. Request permission to reduce the height by one third. 2 - Bramley Apple - Over 100 years old and only surviving tree left from the original Victorian	Response required 10 th April 2022	No Objection	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
Number NE/22/00466/TCA	orchard. The tree is approximately 9/10 metres tall and requires the top thinning and reducing in height by approximately 3 metres. Hoping to protect a gnarled and contorted main trunk. 3 - Walnut Tree - Young walnut tree probably planted by squirrels is at the base 2.8 metres from the aforementioned Victorian Bramley apple tree. The walnut is 8 metres tall and this rapidly growing tree is already impinging on the apple tree a situation that is only going to get considerably worse. Ultimately leading to the demise of one or both. To protect the apple to walnut tree needs complete removal. 2 Grafton Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3EY	Response required	PC Response No Objection	NE Decision
,,	Sycamore Mill House 18 Latham Street Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HD	14 th May 2022	,	

2022 -2023 Applications

NE/22/00070/FUL + 71LBC	Proposal: Conversion of Mill building to 7 bedroom B B accommodation; removal of existing rear extension and canopy to be replaced by two storey rear extension; changes to fenestration and erection of detached bin and bike store at Wallis Mill Old Dry Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HY	Response required 7 rd June 2022	No Objection	
NE/22/00734/FUL	Proposal: Use of land for the parking of goods vehicles including tractor units and trailers. Location: Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HP	Response required 29 th July 2022	Objection	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
			See Note 3	
NE/22/00812/FUL	Proposal: The installation and operation of an electricity substation to facilitate grid connection of the Brigstock Solar project, including: a battery energy storage system (BESS) compound made up to 38 double stacked battery containers; inverter/transformer stations (powerblocks); underground cabling; customer (control building) substation compound; access, parking, boundary treatment, landscaping and temporary compound. Location: Land North Of Old Head Wood Grafton Road Brigstock The above	Response required 12 th August 2022	No Objection	
NC/22/00255/REM	Approval of reserved matters of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for internal estate road with associated infrastructure and services (the provision of the remaining section of internal estate road, beyond that approved under NC/21/00064/REM). The outline planning application was accompanied by an Environmental Statement. Cowthick Plantation Stamford Road Stanion	Response required 5 th August 2022	No Objection	
NE/22/00913/FUL	Proposal: Loft Conversion with dormer window, full chimney breast removal and installation of wc under stairs. Location: 3 Stable Hill Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HN	Response required 19 th August 2022		
NE/22/00735/CND	Discharge of conditions pursuant to 20/01359/FUL - Erection of 35 dwellings with associated open space, parking, landscaping and access Condition 2 -	Response required 26 th September 2022	Objection to condition 17,18 and 19 being discharged	Approved with exception of Conditions

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
	Materials Condition 3 - Door and window details Condition 4 - Lighting details Condition 5 - Surface water Condition 6 - Surface water management Condition 8 -Highways - Works to Stanion Road Condition 11 - Sustainable Measures Condition 12 - CEMP Condition 13 - Biodiversity enhancement features Condition 14 -Landscaping Condition 15 - Protection of trees and hedgerow Condition 17 - Landscape management plan Condition 18 - Landscape maintenance Condition 19 -Play / Recreational equipment Condition 20 - Land levels at Land North Of Stanion Road Brigstock		due to lack of information on safeguarding adjacent property boundary hedges etc	4,5,6, 19, 20. Which were not approved 13 June 23
NE/22/01348/FUL	Proposal Demolition and replacement of existing commercial industrial building, along with associated works to include, the provision of vehicle and cycle parking together with a display area for the made garden buildings. Location: Collings Brothers Ltd Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HT		The parish council have no objection to this proposal in principle. The height of the proposed development is significantly greater than the existing building(s) to be demolished. To improve the visual impact, tree planting on the front of site would be appropriate.	
NE/22/01279/REM	Proposal: Reserved matters: Appearance, landscaping, layout and scale - pursuant to NE/22/00374/OUT - Outline planning application for		No Objection WITH COMMENTS:	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
Number	the erection of one single storey dwelling and carport /workshop (all matters reserved except access) Location: Land North Of 6 Old Dry Lane Brigstock NN14 3HY	Precuring date	The development shows no architectural merit. A correct ridge height should be shown, the current height given is open to interpretation.	
NE/22/01396/FUL	Proposal: New portal frame building for use as a commercial vehicle store/workshop, incorporating 4 x 40 steel shipping containers as walls/secure storage facilities Location: Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HP	Response required 15 th December 2022	Objection See Note 5	REFUSED 19 TH July 2023
NE/22/01472/FUL	Two storey dwelling with single storey granny annexe at 1 Hunter Rise Brigstock	Response required 29 th December 2022	Comment See note 6	Approved 12 th May 2023
NE/22/01279/REM	Proposal: Reserved matters: Appearance, landscaping, layout and scale - pursuant to NE/22/00374/OUT - Outline planning application for the erection of one single storey welling and carport /workshop (all matters reserved except access) Location: Land North Of 6 Old Dry Lane Brigstock NN14 3HY			

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
NE/22/01605/VAR	Proposal: Variation of Condition 3 approved plans to allow for ground levels to be as per new drawings, pursuant to application NE/21/01858/FUL: Demolition of existing single storey dwelling and erection of single storey replacement dwelling, including parking and turning space. Location: 6 Old Dry Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HY			
NE/22/01609/FUL	Proposal: First floor stepped back side extension and single storey rear extension (revised resubmission to NE/22/00993/FUL) Location: 1 Sandlands Avenue Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HJ The above.			Approved 17/4/23
NE/22/01279/REM	Proposal: Reserved matters: Appearance, landscaping, layout and scale - pursuant to NE/22/00374/OUT - Outline planning application for the erection of one single storey dwelling and carport /workshop (all matters reserved except access) Location: Land North Of 6 Old Dry Lan Brigstock NN14 3HY	12 th March 2023		

2023-2024 Applications

		1 .	1	
NE/23/00205/VAR	Variation of condition 22-to substitute some of	7 th April 2023	NO OBJECTION	
	approved drawings listed within condition 22 as			
	detailed in submitted planning statement pursuant to			
	planning permission 20/01359/FUL Erection of 35			
	dwellings with associated open space, parking,			
	landscaping and access			

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
	Location: Land North Of Stanion Road Brigstock			
NE/23/00314/TCA	Two cypress trees:- Photo 1 large multi trunked Lawsons Cypress tree:- totally remove this tree, Photo 2 Lawsons Cypress tree marked in red:- totally remove this tree; Laurel shrub marked in yellow 1 very large shrub total removal branches chipped and removed, branches overhanging into the road have been removed Yew tree marked in blue:- Yew tree pollarded back to a safer height, dead /dangerous parts removed and chipped Location: Walton House 5 Park Walk Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HH	29 th April 2023	NO Objection	NNC no objection - work to be carried out within 2 years from 17 June 23.
NE/23/00297/VAR	Proposal: Variation of Condition 22 to substitute some of the approved drawings pursuant to application 20/01359/FUL: Erection of 35 dwellings with associated open space, parking, landscaping and access. Location: Land North Of Stanion Road Brigstock	Responses needed by 12 th May 2023	No objection	
NE/23/00349/FUL	Construction of a new agricultural barn for the storage of hay, straw, horse feed and other bedding, storage of machinery and equipment, and the winter housing of young horses Location: Kitchen Garden Cottages Benefield Road Brigstock NN14 3HZ	Responses by 19 th May 2023	NO objection	
NE/23/00503/VAR	Variation of Condition 2 to allow for change of external material from render to stone, and Condition 4 to allow to obscure the proposed first floor windows to be relevant to the En-Suite only, pursuant to	Responses by 16th June	NO objection	Approved 7/7/23

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
	NE/22/01308/FUL. Two storey and single storey rear extension to existing dwelling. Location: 14 Grafton Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3EY			
NE/23/00533/LBC	Retrospective application for the construction of new garden walls and the reinstatement and repair of existing garden walls. Location: Brigstock Manor Mill Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HG	Responses by 16th June	OBJECTION – SEE NOTE 7	
NE/23/00532/FUL	Proposal: Retrospective application for the construction of new garden walls and the reinstatement and repair of existing garden walls. Location: Brigstock Manor Mill Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HG	Responses by 16th June	OBJECTION – SEE NOTE 7	
NE/23/00354/FUL	Proposal: Loft conversion with dormer window and installation of wc understairs. Location: 3 Stable Hill Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HN	Responses by 23rd June	No objection	
NE/23/00530/FUL	Proposal: Replacement garage and studio. Comprising ground floor triple, workshop and storage. First floor yoga studio and art studio. Timber clad walls with flat roof and photovoltaic panels. Location: The Water Tower Fermyn Woods Benefield Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3JA	Responses by 23rd June	No objection	
NE/23/00555/VAR	Proposal: Variation of condition 2 to allow for revised drawings and window glazing specification, pursuant to planning permission NE/22/00071/LBC Conversion of Mill building to 7 unit B&B accommodation; removal of existing rear extension and canopy to be replaced by two storey rear extension; changes to fenestration and erection of detached bin and bike store.	Responses by 23rd June	NO objection	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
	Location: Wallis Mill Old Dry Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HY			
NE/23/00522/VAR	Proposal: Variation of condition 2 to allow for revised drawings and window glazing specification, pursuant to planning permission NE/22/00070/FUL Conversion of Mill building to 7 unit B&B accommodation; removal of existing rear extension and canopy to be replaced by two storey rear extension; changes to fenestration and erection of detached bin and bike store. Location: Wallis Mill Old Dry Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HY	Responses by 23rd June	NO objection	
NE/23/00525/LBC	Proposal: The works will consist of repairing the damaged section of parapet as existing. This will involve cleaning the stone for re-use and reinstating as original. New stone is to be used ONLY where the original stone is unsuitable for re-use. Specified lime mortar mix to be used and all works to adhere to specification and in with Grade II listed consent. Location: Bridge Over Harpers Brook Bridge Street Brigstock	Responses by 23rd June	No objection	Approved 7 th July 23
NE/23/00214/FUL	Proposal: Rebuild and repair unsafe stone garden retaining wall (Retrospective) Location: 8A Latham Street Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HD	Responses by 30th June	NO objection	
NE/23/00438/FUL	Proposal: Change of use of land within former (disused) stoney quarry area, currently designated as agricultural, to B2 use, to form an extension of existing B2 land area. Location: Brakehill Family Farm Grafton Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3NB	JULY Responses by 21st July	NO Objection (responded 20/7/23)	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
NE/23/00666/TPO	Proposal: The tree is numbered T11 on the TPO plan. Sycamore tree to be felled, because it is too big for a small garden and has caused cracks in the base for our shed, which we have had to take down as it became unstable. We have also had works carried out three times on our house for subsidence in the past 20 years. There are still cracks inside and outside the house, and on the garden wall. Location: 14 Mauntley Avenue Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HF	JULY Responses by 24 th July.	No objection (responded 20/7/23)	
NE/23/00697/FUL	Proposal: Loft conversion, garage conversion, single storey rear and side extension, new front porch Location: 6 Woodyard Close Brigstock Kettering NN14 3LZ	JULY Responses by 4 th August	NO objection (responded 20/7/23)	
NE/23/00354/FUL	Proposal: Loft conversion with dormer window and installation of wc under stairs. At 3 Stable Hill Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HN **Amended application**	JULY Responses by 26 th July	NO objection (responded 20/7/23) RESIDENT OBJECTION RECEIVED AND FORWARDED	
NE/23/00533/LBC Amended application	Proposal: Retrospective application for the construction of new garden walls and the reinstatement and repair of existing garden walls. Location: Brigstock Manor Mill Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HG Amendment -application includes: 1. Flood Zone Identification document uploaded 12/7/23	JULY Responses by 28 th July	No further comments - refer to previous response (responded 20/7/23)	

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
NE/23/00532/FUL Amended application	Proposal: Retrospective application for the construction of new garden walls and the reinstatement and repair of existing garden walls. Location: Brigstock Manor Mill Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HG *Amendment -application includes: 1. Flood Zone Identification document uploaded 12/7/23	JULY Responses by 28 th July	No further comments - refer to previous response (responded 20/7/23)	
NE/23/00740/TCA/	Proposal: Silver Birch x 1: Raise the canopy to approx 3.5metre & thin by removing crossing rubbing and duplicated branches. This tree has been heavily reduced in the past and now the regrowth is very dense causing excessive shading of the rear garden. please note we will not be reducing the height of the tree. Location: 8 Church Street Brigstock Kettering NN14 3EX	JULY Responses by 7 th August	NO objection (responded 20/7/23)	
NE/23/00769/FUL	Demolition of existing conservatory, replaced with single storey rear extension, and conversion of attached garage to habitable room. 11 The Syke Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HR	NO MEETING Responses by 14 th August		

Note 2 New Planning Application NE/22/00885/FUL & 00886/LBC

Proposal: Construction of new garden walls and the reinstatement/repair of existing garden walls (retrospective) Location: Brigstock Manor Mill Lane Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HG

Number Application Meeting date Po	C Response NE Decision	
------------------------------------	------------------------	--

This building is a grade 2* listed in a conservation area covered by an article 4 directive requiring any development to require planning consent. The proposed development constructing a wall adjacent to Harpers Brook. This area is prone to serious flooding and the wall is in such close proximity to the river that there should be documentation in the application which demonstrates what the impact of the development is under severe flooding conditions in the proximity and down stream

Under the terms of the Water Resources Act, and the Land Drainage Byelaws a prior written consent of the Environment Agency is required for any proposed works or structures, in, under, over or within 8 metres of the top of the bank of a main river.

Part of this development would appear to fall into the above category, hence requiring approval from the relevant authority. Brigstock has experienced horrendous devastation to residents lives during recent flooding, any development which may impact on this in the future without the assurance of a proper flood risk assessment and impact analysis has to be objected to by the parish council. The parish council **Object** to this application

Note 3

New planning application NE/22/00734/FUL

Proposal: Use of land for the parking of goods vehicles including tractor units and trailers. Location: Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HP

The parish council **Object** to this application

History

This site has been occupied without the necessary permissions in excess of two years.

On the 9th September Application 20/00869/LDE Certificate of Lawfulness for existing use of land Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering Northamptonshire NN14 3HP

Was refused. The applicant produce no supportive evidence with the application so the application was **Refused**

On the 30th November 20/01263/LDE Application for lawful development certificate to be granted for use as existing (and historical) sawmill and haulage yard Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering Northamptonshire NN14 3HP was **Refused** again for lack of supportive evidence. The applicant then appealed to the planning inspectorate. The application was accepted by the inspectorate and

Number Application Meeting date PC Response NE Decision

the applicant informed by letter dated 29th April on the 22nd July the Inspectorate wrote to the application confirming the appeal had been **Withdrawn.**

Comments on the supporting document (Planning Statement)

The current application NE/22/00734/FUL with its 44-page supporting document has all the traits of the previous refused LDE applications. There is no supporting information to justify a change of use. The document does not justify the need for granting this application, it only implies that they do not need to justify. Not a normal approach.

It is interesting to note that page 5 of the document comments that the site has been surfaced. It would be interesting to be informed what the surface actually is.

Page 6 of the document, which would appear to justify not providing any supplementary information to support the application. There is no question of the status of the "Local List" Taking into account two years of COVID and the creation of the Unitary Authority. If not being updated was tested, the balance would potentially be in NNC favour.

The NPPF states "The right information is crucial to good decision-making, particularly where formal assessments are required (such as Environmental Impact Assessment, Habitats Regulations assessment and flood risk assessment). To avoid delay, applicants should discuss what information is needed with the local planning authority and expert bodies as early as possible". Considering the NPPF clearly states "the list" should be kept to a minimum, it is not unreasonable that supplementary information should be supplied to support an application which "the list" does not specify. One can only draw a conclusion that supplying same would have a negative impact on the application.

Section 62 of the Town and country planning act 1990 clearly states: Section (3) the local planning authority may require that an application for planning permission must include (3a) such particulars as they think necessary.

Number Application Meeting date PC Response NE De

<u>Page 9 of the document comments</u> that the site has an area of 9,506sqm which from layout given on the location plan when measured on OS mapping system is slightly generous on the negative side but acceptable. There is a concern that because the physical boundaries are not defined it could and most probably would exceed the one hectare operational space.

<u>Page 11 of the document states</u> that a Biodiversity report is not required, this is questionable. The applicant has created large earth banks on the boundary which is assumed to shield the non-approved activities on the site. In doing so it will have had impact in the hedging/trees. As there are no specified operating hours detailed it must be assumed a potentially 24/7 operation, what impact will that have on the species using the existing habitat, and what impact has there been in destroying habitat with the construction of the earth mounds.

<u>Page 12,13,14,15,16 of the document</u> refer to flooding. Harpers brook is designated as a river. It is very prone to flooding and the numbers in the report with respect to recent incidents are optimistic. The site is very low lying, and there is no evidence in the supporting documentation indicating the surface will support the axle weights in wet weather condition let alone flooding conditions. The report states the area is surfaced, but there is no mention as to its durability in adverse weather conditions.

Page 16,17 "L" of the document states that lighting assessment is not applicable. This is strongly challenged. The parish council are very conscious of lighting and have gone to great lengths and expense to minimise the number of lights in the village by replacing all village lighting to including diming post 12 o'clock to minimises light pollution. Currently a test SOLAR powered light has been installed which has very low luminance and brightens with activity sensors to continue our commitment to reduce light pollution. As previously stated, the report has no statement of operational hours. Post the autumn equinox the site could not operate without extensive lighting. A lighting design and operational statements are definitely required.

<u>Page 17 "M" of the document</u> interestingly states the lorry park will not be impacted by the noise of the sawmill, no mention of the lorry park disturbing residents. The application also mentions historic haulage activity in the village, this is not relevant to this application. The noise and associated disturbance generated by this application should it be approved is unacceptable. The entrance to the site is 235 mtr from the Barnards Way residential area, the parish council continually receive complaints regarding noise currently generated from this operation and the unreasonable operating working hours. There are also significant associated noise issues with vehicles parking on roads, turning in residential streets, blowing horns, apart from noise accessing and leaving the site.

It is stated there are no community facilities in the vicinity. This is not strictly true. There is a pocket park 200mtr's and Fermyn Woods Country park 250mtr's as the crow flies

Number Application Meeting date Po	C Response NE Decision	
------------------------------------	------------------------	--

<u>Page 22 "Paragraph 2.16" of the document</u> clarifies that the site is to accommodate 40 drivers, it would be of a greater benefit to detail the number of lorries, tractor units and trailers the site would be expected to accommodate. Paragraph 2.18 is of great concern, although not directly related to this application it shows intent. If an additional access was considered it would need to be so close to the A6116 there would serious safety concerns. (And increase the footprint of the operation.)

<u>Pages 22,23,24 of the document</u> refers to the JCS development plan. Regrettably the document supporting this application only quotes polices. It would be more helpful if there was evidence in the document demonstrating actual need, and show the benefit of the operation and locations siting as required by the JCS. The council suspect this is because there is no supporting justification.

JCS Policy 18 HGV Parking c)

i Requiring the proposal is supported by robust, up to date evidence of need No evidence provided in the application.

- **ii**. Is of a size that is viable and makes a significant contribution to meeting the identified need. There is no supporting evidence of identified need.
- **iii**. Is located within 2kms of the trunk road network or a major freight attractor and can be directly accessed avoiding existing residential areas;

There is impact on Barnards Way and Sudborough Road residential area of the village, none of these have been address in the application.

- **iv**. Is designed and laid out to a high standard and incorporates 'Secured by Design' principles; It is very questionable if these requirements are met. There is no evidence to support compliance to this policy requirement.
- **v**. Will provide a level of on-site facilities for lorry drivers appropriate to the size of the scheme, with any ancillary uses being of a type and scale appropriate to the main use of the site as a truck stop;

The application is for a lorry park not a truck stop, but some basic facilities are required. None are detailed in the application

vi. Incorporates suitable arrangements for the management and maintenance of the facility;

The supporting document states the principal operation is for other licence holders to use the facility, no mention of the numbers or size of their fleet. With this type of operating model there needs to be on site management in place 24/7, otherwise there is

Number Application Meeting date PC Response	NE Decision
---	-------------

potential for backing up on the carriageway outside the location. The A6116 is only 109mtr from the site entrance. Not many vehicles need to be waiting to enter site before serious safety issue occur due to backing up to the A6116

vii. Where located in the open countryside, incorporates a restoration plan agreed by the local planning authority for the after-use of the site to be implemented in the event of the cessation of the use of the site for HGV parking operations.

<u>Because of the site location and there is no indication as to the longevity of this operation as the site is rented. Is this clause relevant?</u>

Page 26 of the document refers to JCS Policy 25 Rural and Economic Development and Diversification.

The document accompanying this application does not in any way support any of the requirements of this policy. Principally due to the operating model of existing licence holders using the site. There will be no increased employment or benefit to the economy of the village demonstrated in the document supporting the application.

Page 27 of the document refers to Brigstock Neighbourhood plan Policy B17

This application does not meet any of the requirements of the policy detailed as (uses falling within class B of the Use Classes Order including offices (B1) manufacturing (B2) and warehousing and distribution (B8)).

Paragraph 7.7 of the Brigstock NHP states. As part of our strategy for generating new and better jobs for local people we want to support the retention and growth of these businesses. This application has not demonstrated the need of any new jobs. The 40 jobs mentioned in the application apply to individual licence holders. There is no commitment to provide local jobs in the documentation.

Page 28, 29,30, 31 32, 33, 34 of the document refers to the objectives of the NPPF

Again all content in this application is a vast amount of text from policy documents, it omits any analysis as to how this application actually meets any of the policy requirements. There is no empirical data included to justify this application

<u>Page 36,37 of the document</u> This implies that no traffic plan is required. The NPPF Section 9 Paragraph 113 states. "All developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed". This application has the potential for significant amounts of movement. It is important to have a plan that specifies movement volume and operational timings of the movements so the operation has accountability.

Page 37 of the document Section R This states "Not applicable to a tree survey".

Number Application Meeting date PC Response	NE Decision
---	-------------

The hedges/trees boarding the site boundary need to be assessed so there is some assurance that they are not damaged.

Page 37 of the document Section S

This states that no waste management plan is required. The council would strongly conclude that with this amount of proposed activity generated by numerus haulage operations, there is the capacity for significant waste generation and should be dealt with in the application.

Brigstock parish Object to this application for all the reasons set out in the above text

NOTE 5

Planning application NE/22/01396/FUL

Proposal: New portal frame building for use as a commercial vehicle store/workshop, incorporating 4×40 steel shipping containers as walls/secure storage facilities

Location: Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HP

It is noted that the application proposal is not asking for retrospective approval even though the development is under construction.

Brigstock Parish Council **Strongly Object** to this application. There are ongoing issues, plus an outstanding planning application for the operations on this site.

Interestingly the letter from the applicant's agent states this development was thought to be within permitted development rights. It is difficult to comprehend how a building to support a haulage and associated operations which are operating on a site with no planning consent can have any legitimacy.

	Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
--	--------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

History of applicant's endeavours to obtain planning permission for the haulage operation which is currently operating without planning consent.

- 1. Application 19/01667/FUL was made to use the old treatment plant opposite the wood yard in Nov 1999, this application was subsequently withdrawn in July in favour of using a section of the wood yard site opposite, after 240 public and 6 consultee negative comments.
- 2. The operation moved from Cranford to the wood yard site in a bit of clandestine way. After a lot of complaints to planning an enforcement notice file was opened 20/00070/PPD but no action was ever taken, although we were told enforcement action would be taken.
- 3. In July 2020 an application was made for a Lawful Development Certificate 20/00869/LDE which was refused in September 2020.
- 4. Again in November 2020 a second application was made for a Lawful Development Certificate 20/01263/LDE which again was refused.
- 5. In April 2021 an application APP/G2815/X/21/3274052 was made to the planning inspectorate appealing against the refusal decision for Lawful Development Certificate application 20/01263/LDE this was subsequently withdrawn in the July.
- 6. In June 2022 an application NE/22/00734/FUL was made for the use of land for the parking of goods vehicles including tractor units and trailers. Sawmill Sudborough Road Brigstock Kettering NN14 3HP
- 7. In November 2022 application NE/22/01396/FUL received for a new portal frame building for use as a commercial vehicle store/workshop.

Reasons for rejecting planning application NE/22/01396/FUL

<u>Access and design statement</u> gives no indication as to the true purpose of the development. It is important to understand if this is a development for a standalone enterprise or to support a truck stop, a haulage operation or even a vehicle recycling operation. None of these activities currently have planning permission to operate on this site.

	Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
--	--------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

<u>Building construction methods</u> on the application states that the walls are of "Dark green upvc coated steel profile sheet", in fact they are constructed of 4 steel shipping containers. There is no mention in the application of the intended use for these containers, and no clarity if this unusual construction method is in order to allow occupation or other usage of the internal container space. At least one of the containers is occupied.

<u>The development site</u> is to accommodate 10 car parking spaces with 3 disabled spaces plus 10 light goods vehicles, none of the drawings shows their allocation. The area of the development site is stated as 227.5 sq metres. Can the building and potentially 33 vehicles be accommodated in that space?

<u>Flooding.</u> It is stated that the site is not at risk of flooding if the Environment Agency map (below) is consulted the precise location of the development is highlighted in dark blue, which is HIGH



Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
--------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

Surface Water. It is stated that this is disposed of by soakaway. There is no detail as to how this can be achieved

<u>Foul Sewage</u>. The application details give no indication as to the siting of the package treatment plant or the location of sanitation facilities in the development.

<u>Waste Storage and Collection</u>. On the application form it states the plans incorporate areas to store and aid the collection of waste. There is no such detail in the application documentation. It also stated that there are arrangements for the separate storage and collection of recyclable waste. Again, none of the documentation accompanying this application gives any details of this is to be managed.

<u>Class: B2</u> - General industrial. Is this the appropriate class for this application given that this is an integral element of a larger transport operation.

In summation

The parish council **strongly object to this application**. The details are basic to say the least and not consistent with the content of the application. Large chunks of information are missing. If this is a haulage servicing operation there needs to be parts storage, toilets, office accommodation etc. The plans accompanying this application are at best sketchy and at worst totally inadequate to represent the proposed development.

There are fundamental questions regarding this application. Can planning consent be considered to a maintenance facility presumably to support a transport/haulage and possibly recycling of old vehicles operation, when the existing facility is not operating on a legal basis as there are no planning consents in place.

It cannot be ignored that there has been one planning application withdrawn, two lawful development certificated refused, application to planning inspectorate appealing the lawful development decisions withdrawn (*no chance of success*) and planning enforcement visits. This leaves a lot of questions unanswered regarding motives.

It is not unreasonable that the outstanding planning application NE/22/00734/FUL should be determined before this application can even be considered.

Number Application Meeting date PC Response N	NE Decision	Meeting date PC Response NE Decision	Me	Application	Number
---	-------------	--------------------------------------	----	-------------	--------

NOTE 6

Planning Application NE/22/01472/FUL Two storey dwelling with single storey granny annexe at 1 Hunter Rise Brigstock

The proposed development is in principle the same as previous applications 17/02567/FUL (permitted) and NE/22/00509/FUL(withdrawn) to which the parish council had no objection.

Brigstock parish council would like to make the following comments on this application.

There is no objection to the actual house, but the Amended Site Plan is not fully representative of the actual scale of development. Currently in construction on the north east corner of the site is a building which forms part of this development in an area designated in the plan as "meadow grass with mown paths and fruit trees". This addition should not be omitted from the application.

Concern is also been expressed at the 2.2mtr high timber/corrugated steel panelled fence to the western boundary of the development. Its construction and size are out of keeping with its setting.

In the Previous application 17/02567/FUL and NE/22/00509/FUL there was a landscaping condition number 5, one of its clauses being :-

5ii) details indicating the positions, height, design, materials and type of any new boundary treatment to be installed. The submitted information shall include provision to ensure that access between MK12 and the Swan Avenue footpath link is enabled and kept open and useable as a footpath link in perpetuity

This clause was challenged with a new application 18/01525/VAR Variation of condition 5ii pursuant to planning application 17/02567/FUL. The application was refused.

Subsequent to the refusal to remove condition 5ii an application appealing the above decision was made to the planning inspectorate. The application was dismissed.

Should North Northamptonshire Council be minded to approve this application the above clause 5ii should be included as a condition prior to development commencement.

NOTE 7:

OBJECTION with text from correspondence with Alastair Windler as follows:

'In July 2022, the Environment Agency were consulted on this application and after reviewing it, my team recommended the EA object to this application on flood risk grounds, which I understand we did.

The EA have recently been consulted again and my team, having reviewed the application again, continue to recommend the EA object to this application on flood risk grounds.

I understand the EA's response to this consultation should shortly be available to view at North Northamptonshire Council.

In situations where activities that increase flood risk have been performed that do not have either the necessary planning permission from the Local Planning Authority, nor the necessary Flood Risk Activity Permit from the Environment Agency, the EA and LPA often work together towards an outcome that improves flood risk.

Given the history of flooding in Brigstock this is something we will be seeking to do in this case.'

Number	Application	Meeting date	PC Response	NE Decision
--------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

.000.